

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,010	01/17/2002	Saket Chadda	SFI 1017	9154
29906	7590 01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3723	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/052,010	CHADDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	M Rachuba	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>0 November 2005</u> .				
,_	, _				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-29 and 31-46</u> is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,7,20-27 and 38-5</u>] ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8-19,28,29 and 31-37</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	-46 is/are withdrawn from correjected.	nsideration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Output		Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species 2 in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. Claims 6, 7, 20-27 and 38-46 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informalities: it should depend from claim 35, and not claim 29. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Applicant's amendment has overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13-16, 18,19, 28, 29, 32, 34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kondo et al US006117775A (previously cited by applicant in the IDS filed 17 January 2002), as set forth in the Office action mailed 15 August 2005. Further, '775 teaches applying a chemical to the copper oxide film to make it soluble, polishing the film, applying more chemical to further make the film soluble, then planarizing the copper layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,010 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4, 9, 12, 17, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo et al, '775, as set forth in the Office action mailed 15 August 2005. Further, '775 does not disclose that the non-orbital motion is the linear motion of a belt-type polishing pad. The examiner takes Official notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that linear belt type polishing pads are old and well known in the art, and would have found it obvious to have provided '775 with a linear polishing tool, known to take up less area than a rotary tool.
- 8. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo et al, '775 in view of Tsai et al, US 20030022501A1. '775 does not disclose the polishing step performed with different polishing heads, or the polishing heads on a carousel. '501, figures 1 and 3, teaches polishing a substrate on different polishing heads, the heads mounted on a carousel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided '775 with the carousel mounted heads for the different processes as taught by '501, to obtain different polishing effects on the wafer.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 10 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Kondo does not teach pretreating a

Art Unit: 3723

copper layer to substantially remove a film that is more resistant to polishing than copper. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kondo, column 6, lines 14- column 7 line 5, discloses pretreating a copper oxide layer to make it water soluble, then polishing the copper layer. This clearly meets the language of claim 1. Applicant's amendment has overcome the rejections based on Zhong and Tsai et al under 35 USC 102.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 571-272-4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,010 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M Rachuba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723